

Container combinatorics: Monads and more

Tarmo Uustalu, Tallinn University of Technology

SYCO 1, Birmingham, 20–21 September 2018

Containers?

- **Containers** (Abbott, Altenkirch, Ghani; cf polynomials, Gambino, Hyland, Kock) are an elegant “syntax” in terms of shapes and positions for a wide class of set functors.
- In particular, they are good for enumerative combinatorics, for enumerating structures of a given type on a functor.
- Prior work: **Directed containers** (Ahman, Chapman, Uustalu) as containers with additional structure denoting comonads.
- This talk: **Further specializations of containers** corresponding to monads, lax monoidal functors (aka idioms) and more.

Containers

- A *container* is given by
 - a set S (of shapes)
 - and a S -indexed family P of sets (of positions in each shape)
- A container (S, P) interprets into a set functor
 $\llbracket S, P \rrbracket^c = F$ where
 - $F X = \Sigma s : S. P s \rightarrow X$
 - $F f = \lambda(s, v). (s, f \circ v)$

Lists container

- Let
 - $S = \mathbb{N}$
 - $P s = [0..s)$
- The container (S, P) represents the list datatype, as
 - $\llbracket S, P \rrbracket^c X = \Sigma s : \mathbb{N}. [0..s) \rightarrow X$
 $\cong \text{List } X.$

Container morphisms

- A *container morphism* between (S, P) and (S', P') is given by operations
 - $t : S \rightarrow S'$ (the shape map)
 - and $q : \prod_{s:S}. P' (t s) \rightarrow P s$ (the position map)
- A container morphism (t, q) between (S, P) and (S', P') interprets into a natural transformation $\llbracket t, q \rrbracket^c = \tau$ between $\llbracket S, P \rrbracket^c$ and $\llbracket S', P' \rrbracket^c$ where
 - $\tau_X : \llbracket S, P \rrbracket^c X \rightarrow \llbracket S', P' \rrbracket^c X$
 $(\Sigma s : S. P s \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\Sigma s' : S'. P' s' \rightarrow X)$
 $\tau(s, v) = (t s, v \circ q_s)$

Some lists container endomorphisms

- Let $S = \mathbb{N}$, $P s = [0..s)$ as before.
- We can define a container endomorphism (t, q) on (S, P) for example by
 - $t s = s$
 - $q_s p = s - p$

This denotes the list reversal function.

- But setting
 - $t s = s + s$
 - $q_s p = p \bmod s$

we get a representation of the list self-append function.

The category of containers

- Identity on (S, P) is $(\text{id}_S, \lambda_S \cdot \text{id}_{P_S})$.
- Composition of $(t, q) : (S, P) \rightarrow (S', P')$ and $(t', q') : (S', P') \rightarrow (S'', P'')$ is $(t' \circ t, \lambda_{S'} \cdot q_{S'} \circ q'_{t_S})$.
- Containers form a category **Cont**.
- $\llbracket - \rrbracket^c$ makes a fully-faithful functor from **Cont** to **[Set, Set]**.

Two monoidal structures

- The identity container is $\text{Id}^c = (1, \lambda *. 1)$.
- Composition of (S, P) and (S', P') is $(S, P) \cdot^c (S', P') = (\Sigma s : S. P s \rightarrow S', \lambda(s, v). \Sigma p : P s. P' (v p))$.
- $(\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \cdot^c)$ is a monoidal category and $\llbracket - \rrbracket^c$ a monoidal functor to $([\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set}], \text{Id}, \cdot)$.
- Day convolution of (S, P) and (S', P') is $(S, P) \otimes^c (S', P') = (S \times S', \lambda(s, s'). P s \times P' s)$.
- $(\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \otimes^c)$ is a symmetric monoidal category and $\llbracket - \rrbracket^c$ a symmetric monoidal functor to $([\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set}], \text{Id}, \otimes)$.
- For any $(S, P), (S', P')$, there is a container morphism from $(S, P) \otimes^c (S', P') \rightarrow (S, P) \cdot^c (S', P')$.
- This makes $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{Cont}}$ a lax monoidal functor from $(\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \cdot^c)$ to $(\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \otimes^c)$.

Mnd-containers

- Call an *mnd-container* a container (S, P) with operations
 - $e : S$
 - $\bullet : \Pi s : S. (P\ s \rightarrow S) \rightarrow S$
 - $q_0 : \Pi s : S. \Pi v : P\ s \rightarrow S. P\ (s \bullet v) \rightarrow P\ s$
 - $q_1 : \Pi s : S. \Pi v : P\ s \rightarrow S. \Pi p : P\ (s \bullet v). P\ (v (v \frown_s p))$

where we write

- $q_0\ s\ v\ p$ as $v \frown_s p$ and
- $q_1\ s\ v\ p$ as $p \uparrow_v s$

satisfying

- $s = s \bullet (\lambda_. e)$
- $e \bullet (\lambda_. s) = s$
- $(s \bullet v) \bullet (\lambda p''. w (v \frown_s p'') (p'' \uparrow_v s)) = s \bullet (\lambda p'. v p' \bullet w p')$

and ...

Mnd-containers ctd

- ... and

- $p = (\lambda_. e) \uparrow_s p$
- $p \uparrow_{\lambda_. s} e = p$
- $v \uparrow_s ((\lambda p''. w (v \uparrow_s p'') (p'' \uparrow_v s)) \uparrow_{s \bullet v} p) =$
 $(\lambda p'. v p' \bullet w p') \uparrow_s p$
- $((\lambda p''. w (v \uparrow_s p'') (p'' \uparrow_v s)) \uparrow_{s \bullet v} p) \uparrow_v s =$
 $\text{let } u p' \leftarrow v p' \bullet w p' \text{ in } w (u \uparrow_s p) \uparrow_{v (u \uparrow_s p)} (p \uparrow_u s)$
- $p \uparrow_{\lambda p''. w (v \uparrow_s p'') (p'' \uparrow_v s)} (s \bullet v) =$
 $\text{let } u p' \leftarrow v p' \bullet w p' \text{ in } (p \uparrow_u s) \uparrow_{w (u \uparrow_s p)} v (u \uparrow_s p)$

Mnd-containers ctd

- An mnd-container $(S, P, e, \bullet, \wedge, \nearrow)$ interprets into a monad $\llbracket S, P, e, \bullet, \wedge, \nearrow \rrbracket^{\text{mc}} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ where
 - $T = \llbracket S, P \rrbracket^c$
 - $\eta_X : X \rightarrow T X$
 $X \rightarrow \Sigma s : S.P s \rightarrow X$
 $\eta x = (e, \lambda _ . x)$
 - $\mu_X : T (T X) \rightarrow T X$
 $(\Sigma s : S.P s \rightarrow \Sigma s' : S.P s' \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\Sigma s : S.P s \rightarrow X)$
 $\mu (s, v) =$
let $(v_0 p, v_1 p) \leftarrow v p$ in $(s \bullet v_0, \lambda p. v_1 (v_0 \wedge_s p) (p \nearrow_{v_0} s))$

The category of mnd-containers

- Mnd-containers form a category **MCont**, with identities and composition inherited from **Cont**.
- Mnd-container interpretation $\llbracket - \rrbracket^{\text{mc}}$ makes a fully-faithful functor between **MCont** and **Monad(Set)**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{MCont} & & \\
 \cong \mathbf{Monoid}(\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \cdot^c) & \xrightarrow{U} & \mathbf{Cont} \\
 \downarrow \text{f.f. } \llbracket - \rrbracket^{\text{mc}} & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Monad}(\mathbf{Set}) & \xrightarrow{U} & [\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set}] \\
 \cong \mathbf{Monoid}([\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set}], \text{Id}, \cdot) & & \\
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 (\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \cdot^c) \\
 \downarrow \llbracket - \rrbracket^c \text{ f.f.} \\
 ([\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set}], \text{Id}, \cdot)
 \end{array}$$

Exception container

- Let $S = 1 + E$ for some set E and $P(\text{inl } *) = 1, P(\text{inr } _) = 0$.
- Then $T X = \sum s : 1 + E. \left(\text{case } s \text{ of } \begin{array}{l} \text{inl } * \mapsto 1 \\ \text{inr } _ \mapsto 0 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow X \cong X + E$.
- If, in a hypothetical mnd-container structure on (S, P) , $e = \text{inr } e_0$ for some $e_0 : E$, then $P e = 0$ and therefore $\text{inl } * = e \bullet (\lambda_. \text{inl } *) = e \bullet (\lambda_. \text{inr } e_0) = \text{inr } e_0$, which is absurd.
- If $e = \text{inl } *$, then necessarily $\text{inl } * \bullet v = e \bullet (\lambda*. v *) = v *$ and $\text{inr } e \bullet v = \text{inr } e \bullet (\lambda_. e) = \text{inr } e$.
- This choice of e and \bullet satisfies the conditions of an mnd-container.
- So there is exactly one mnd-container structure on (S, P) and exactly one monad structure on T .

Lists container

- Let $S = \mathbb{N}$, $P s = [0..s)$.
- Then $T X = \sum s : \mathbb{N}. [0..s) \rightarrow X \cong \text{List } X$.
- The following is an mnd-container structure:
 - $e = 1$
 - $s \bullet v = \sum_{p:[0..s)} v p$
 - $v \wedge_s p = \text{greatest } p_0 : [0..s) \text{ st } \sum_{p':[0..p_0)} v p' \leq p$
 - $p \uparrow_v s = p - \sum_{p':[0..v \wedge_s p)} v p'$
- The corresponding monad structure is
 $\eta_X x = [x]$, $\mu_X xss = \text{concat } xss$.
- But these are not the only mnd-container structure on (S, P) and not the only monad structure on T .

Mnd-containers as generalized operads

- The (standard) lists mnd-container generalizes for non-symmetric operads.
- Given an operad, i.e., a set O (of operations) and functions $\# : O \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (fixing the arities) and $\text{id} : O$ (the identity) and $\circ : \prod_o : O. (\# o \rightarrow O) \rightarrow O$ (composition) satisfying $\# \text{id} = 1$ and $\# (o \circ v) = \sum_{i:[0, \# o)} \# (v i)$ and a number of further equations.
- We can take $S = O$, $P o = [0.. \# o)$, $e = \text{id}$, $s \bullet v = s \circ v$ and \searrow, \nearrow as in the lists mnd-container.
- The lists mnd-container corresponds to the operad Assoc with exactly one operation of every arity.
- General mnd-containers are like operads, but arities may be infinite, identification of the arguments of an operation is nominal, and the arguments of a composition may be used non-linearly by the operations involved (as specified by \searrow, \nearrow).

Mnd-containers as lax $(1, \Sigma)$ -universes

- Altenkirch, Pinyo have observed that an mnd-container defines a “lax” $(1, \Sigma)$ -universe.
 - S is the set of “(codes for) types”,
 - $P s$ is the “denotation” of s ,
 - e is the type 1 ,
 - \bullet is the Σ -type former,
 - \backslash, \uparrow are projections from denotations of Σ -types
- The laxity is that 1 need not really denote the singleton set and Σ -types need not really denote dependent products, we only have functions $P e \rightarrow 1$ and $P (s \bullet v) \rightarrow \Sigma p : P s. P (v p)$, not isomorphisms.

Lmf-containers

- Call an *lmf-container* a container (S, P) with operations
 - $e : S$
 - $\bullet : S \rightarrow S \rightarrow S$
 - $q_0 : \prod s : S. \prod s' : S. P(s \bullet s') \rightarrow P s$
 - $q_1 : \prod s : S. \prod s' : S. P(s \bullet s') \rightarrow P s'$

where we write

- $q_0 s s' p$ as $s' \searrow_s p$ and $q_1 s s' p$ as $p \nearrow_{s'} s$

satisfying

- $e \bullet s = s$
- $s = s \bullet e$
- $(s \bullet s') \bullet s'' = s \bullet (s' \bullet s'')$
- $e \searrow_s p = p$
- $p \nearrow_s e = p$
- $s' \searrow_s (s'' \searrow_{s \bullet s'} p) = (s' \bullet s'') \searrow_s p$
- $(s'' \searrow_{s \bullet s'} p) \nearrow_{s'} s = s'' \searrow_{s'} (p \nearrow_{s' \bullet s''} s)$
- $p \nearrow_{s''} (s \bullet s') = (p \nearrow_{s' \bullet s''} s) \nearrow_{s''} s'$

Lmf-containers ctd

An lmf-container $(S, P, e, \bullet, \wedge, \nearrow)$ interprets into a lax monoidal functor $\llbracket S, P, e, \bullet, \wedge, \nearrow \rrbracket^{\text{lc}} = (F, m^0, m)$ where

- $F = \llbracket S, P \rrbracket^c$
- $m^0 : 1 \rightarrow T 1$
 $1 \rightarrow (\Sigma s : S. P s \rightarrow 1)$
 $m^0 * = (e, \lambda_. *)$
- $m_{X,Y} : T X \times T Y \rightarrow T (X \times Y)$
 $(\Sigma s : S. P s \rightarrow X) \times (\Sigma s : S. P s \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow (\Sigma s : S. P s \rightarrow X \times Y)$
- $m_{X,Y} ((s, v), (s', v')) = (s \bullet s', \lambda p. (v (s' \wedge_s p), v' (p \nearrow_{s'} s)))$

The category of Lmf-containers

- Lmf-containers form a category **LCont**, with identities and composition inherited from **Cont**.
- $\llbracket - \rrbracket^{lc}$ is a fully-faithful functor between **LCont** and **LMF(Set)**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{LCont} & \xrightarrow{U} & \mathbf{Cont} \\
 \cong \mathbf{Monoid}(\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \otimes^c) & & \\
 \downarrow \text{f.f. } \llbracket - \rrbracket^{lc} & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{LMF}(\mathbf{Set}) & \xrightarrow{U} & [\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set}] \\
 \cong \mathbf{Monoid}([\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set}], \text{Id}, \otimes) & &
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 (\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \otimes^c) \\
 \downarrow \llbracket - \rrbracket^c \text{ f.f.} \\
 ([\mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set}], \text{Id}, \otimes)
 \end{array}$$

Mnd-containers vs Imf-containers

- Any mnd-container $(S, P, e, \bullet, \lrcorner, \lrcorner')$ defines an Imf-container $(S, P, e, \bullet', \lrcorner', \lrcorner')$ by $s \bullet' s' = s \bullet (\lambda_{-} s')$.
- Any mnd-container morphism is an Imf-container morphism.
- This gives a faithful functor from **MCont** to **LCont**. This is the functor induced by the lax monoidal functor $\text{Id}_{\text{Cont}} : (\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \cdot^c) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Cont}, \text{Id}^c, \otimes^c)$.

Exception container

- Let $S = 1 + E$ for some set E and $P(\text{inl } *) = 1, P(\text{inr } _) = 0$.
- Then $TX \cong X + E$.
- If, in an lmf-container structure on (S, P) , we had $e = \text{inr } e_0$ for some $e_0 : E$, then $\text{inr } e_0 \bullet \text{inl } * = \text{inl } *$. But then $q_0(\text{inr } e_0)(\text{inl } *) : 1 \rightarrow 0$, which cannot be.
- If $e = \text{inl } *$, then $\text{inl } * \bullet s = s, \text{inr } e \bullet \text{inl } * = \text{inr } e, \text{inr } e \bullet \text{inr } e' = e \otimes e'$ where \otimes must be some semigroup structure on E .
- The unique mnd-container structure on (S, P) corresponds to the particular case of the left zero semigroup, i.e., the semigroup where $e \otimes e' = e$.

Lists container

- Let $S = \mathbb{N}$, $P s = [0..s]$. Then $T X \cong \text{List } X$.
- The standard mnd-container structure on (S, P) gives this lmf-container structure:
 - $e = 1$
 - $s \bullet s' = s * s'$
 - $s' \searrow_s p = p \text{ div } s'$, $p \nearrow_{s'} s = p \text{ mod } s'$
- The corresponding lax monoidal functor structure on T is $m^0 * = [*]$, $m_{X,Y}(xs, ys) = [(x, y) \mid x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow ys]$.
- But we also have, eg, this lmf-container structure:
 - $e = 1$
 - $s \bullet s' = s \text{ min } s'$
 - $s' \searrow_s p = p$, $p \nearrow_{s'} s = p$
- The corresponding lax monoidal functor structure is $m^0 * = [*]$, $m_{X,Y}(xs, ys) = \text{zip}(xs, ys)$.

Lmf-containers as operads with restricted composition

- Similarly to the mnd-containers case, the list container example can be generalized.
- The appropriate generalization is a relaxation of non-symmetric operads where parallel composition is only defined when the given n operations composed with the given n -ary operation are all the same, ie, we have $\circ : O \rightarrow O \rightarrow O$ and $\#(o \circ o') = \#o * \#o'$.

Lmf-containers as lax $(1, \times)$ -universes

- While an mnd-container defines a lax $(1, \Sigma)$ -universe, an lmf-container defines a lax $(1, \times)$ -universe.
- • is the \times -type former.

Containers \cap commutative monads

- The monad interpreting an mnd-container is commutative (which reduces to the corresponding lax monoidal functor being symmetric) iff
 - $s \bullet (\lambda_{..} s') = s' \bullet (\lambda_{..} s)$
 - $(\lambda_{..} s') \searrow_s p = p \nearrow_{\lambda_{..} s} s'$

Containers \cap Cartesian monads

- The monad interpreting an mnd-container is Cartesian (in the sense that all naturality squares of η , μ are pullbacks) iff
 - the function $\lambda_{\bullet} * : P e \rightarrow 1$ is an isomorphism,
 - for any $s : S$, $v : P s \rightarrow S$, the function $\lambda p. (v \searrow_s p, p \nearrow_v s) : P (s \bullet v) \rightarrow \Sigma p : P s. P (v p)$ is an isomorphism.
- Such mnd-containers are proper $(1, \Sigma)$ -universes.
- With Veltri, we also analyzed a number of other specializations of monads—copy monads, equational lifting monads etc.

Takeaway

- Containers whose interpretation carries a monad or a lax monoidal functor structure admit insightful explicit characterizations as mnd-containers and lmf-containers.
- These explain why set monads and lax monoidal endofunctors have very similar properties (the former also being a special case of the latter).
- Mnd-containers generalize operads, lmf-containers operads with restricted composition.
- Mnd-containers are lax $(1, \Sigma)$ universes, lmf-containers are lax $(1, \times)$ universes.