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Part 1: Motivation
Lenses

**Definition (Oles, 1982)**

\[
\text{Lens} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} s \\ t \end{array} \right) \right) = \text{Sets}(s, a) \times \text{Sets}(s \times b, t).
\]

*(example) view: Postal \rightarrow Street*

*update: Postal \times Street \rightarrow Postal*
Prisms (alternatives)

Definition

\[
\text{Prism } \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} s \\ t \end{array} \right) \right) = \text{Sets}(s, t + a) \times \text{Sets}(b, t).
\]

(example) match: String → String + Postal

build: Postal → String
Traversals (and multiple foci)

**Definition**

\[
\text{Traversal} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} s \\ t \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right) \right) = \text{Sets} \left( s, \sum_n a^n \times (b^n \rightarrow t) \right).
\]

*(example)* extract: \( \text{MailList} \rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Email}^n \times (\text{Email}^n \rightarrow \text{MailList}) \)
How to compose **two lenses**? How to compose a **Prism** with a **Lens**?

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  s \\
  t
\end{pmatrix}
\xrightarrow{m,b \text{ prism}}
\begin{pmatrix}
  a \\
  b
\end{pmatrix}
\xrightarrow{v,u \text{ lens}}
\begin{pmatrix}
  x \\
  y
\end{pmatrix}
\]
This is not modular

How to compose two lenses? How to compose a Prism with a Lens?

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  s \\
  t
\end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{m,b \text{ prism}} \begin{pmatrix}
  a \\
  b
\end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{v,u \text{ lens}} \begin{pmatrix}
  x \\
  y
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Every case (Prism+Lens, Lens+Prism, Traversal+Prism+Other...) needs special attention. For instance, a lens and a prism

\[
v \in \text{Sets}(s, t + a) \quad m \in \text{Sets}(a, x)
\]

\[
u \in \text{Sets}(b, t) \quad b \in \text{Sets}(a \times y, b)
\]

can be composed into the following morphism, which is neither a lens nor a prism.

\[
m \circ [\text{id}_t, v \times \Lambda(b \circ u)] \in \text{Sets}(s, t + x \times (y \to t)).
\]
-- Given a lens and a prism,
viewStreet :: Postal -> Street
updateStreet :: Postal -> Street -> Postal
matchAddress :: String -> Either String Postal
buildAddress :: Postal -> String

-- the composition is neither a lens nor a prism.
parseStreet :: String -> Either String (Street , Street -> Postal)
parseStreet s = case matchAddress s of
    Left addr -> Left addr
    Right post -> Right (viewStreet post, updateStreet post)
Perhaps surprisingly, some optics are equivalent to parametric functions over profunctors.

- **Lenses** are parametric functions.

\[
\text{Sets}(s, a) \times \text{Sets}(s \times b, t) \cong \forall p \in \text{Tmb}(\times).p(a, b) \to p(s, t)
\]

- **Prisms** are parametric functions.

\[
\text{Sets}(a, a + x) \times \text{Sets}(y, b) \cong \forall p \in \text{Tmb}(+).p(x, y) \to p(a, b)
\]

Where \( p \in \text{Tmb}(\otimes) \) is called a *Tambara module*; this means we have a natural transformation \( p(a, b) \to p(c \otimes a, c \otimes b) \) subject to some conditions.
Perhaps surprisingly, some optics are equivalent to parametric functions over profunctors.

• **Lenses** are parametric functions.

\[
\text{Sets}(s, a) \times \text{Sets}(s \times b, t) \cong \forall p \in \text{Tmb}(\times). p(a, b) \to p(s, t)
\]

• **Prisms** are parametric functions.

\[
\text{Sets}(a, a + x) \times \text{Sets}(y, b) \cong \forall p \in \text{Tmb}(+). p(x, y) \to p(a, b)
\]

Where \( p \in \text{Tmb}(\otimes) \) is called a **Tambara module**; this means we have a natural transformation \( p(a, b) \to p(c \otimes a, c \otimes b) \) subject to some conditions.

**This solves composition**

Now composition of optics is just function composition. From \( p(a, b) \to p(s, t) \) and \( p(x, y) \to p(a, b) \) we can get \( p(x, y) \to p(s, t) \).
An example in Haskell

-- Haskell code --

let address = "15 Parks Rd, OX1 3QD, Oxford"

address ^. postal
-- Street: 15 Parks Rd
-- Code: OX1 3QD
-- City: Oxford

address ^. postal.street
-- "15 Parks Rd"

address ^. postal.street <= "7 Banbury Rd"
-- "7 Banbury Rd, OX1 3QD, Oxford"
• **Existential optics**: a definition of optic.
• **Profunctor optics**: on optics as parametric functions.
• **Composing optics**: on how composition works.
• **Case study**: on how to invent an optic.
• **Further work**: and implementations.
Part 2: Existential optics
**Ends** and **Coends** over a profunctor $p: C^{op} \times C \to \text{Sets}$ are special kinds of (co)limits, (co)equalizing its right and left mapping.

\[
\int_{x \in C} p(x, x) \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in C} p(x, x) \xrightarrow{p(id, f)} \prod_{f: a \to b} p(a, b)
\]

\[
\bigsqcup_{f: b \to a} p(a, b) \xrightarrow{p(id, f)} \bigsqcup_{x \in C} p(x, x) \longrightarrow \int_{x \in C} p(x, x)
\]

Intuitively, a *natural* universal quantifier (ends) and existential quantifier (coends).

---

Natural transformations can be rewritten in terms of ends. For any $F, G : C \to D$,

$$\text{Nat}(F, G) = \int_{x \in C} D(Fx, Gx).$$

We can compute (co)ends using the Yoneda lemma.

$$\int_{x \in C} \text{Sets}(C(x, a), Gx) \cong Ga,$$

$$\int_{x \in C} Fx \times C(a, x) \cong Fa.$$

Continuity of the hom functor takes the following form.

$$D \left( \int_{c \in C} p(c, c), d \right) \cong \int_{c \in C} D(p(c, c), d),$$

$$D \left( d, \int_{c \in C} p(c, c) \right) \cong \int_{c \in C} D(d, p(c, c)).$$
Natural transformations can be rewritten in terms of ends. For any \( F, G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \),

\[
\text{Nat}(F, G) = \int_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}(Fx, Gx).
\]

We can compute (co)ends using the Yoneda lemma.

\[
\int_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Sets}(\mathcal{C}(x, a), Gx) \cong Ga,
\]

\[
\int_{x \in \mathcal{C}} Fx \times \mathcal{C}(a, x) \cong Fa.
\]

Continuity of the hom functor takes the following form.

\[
\mathcal{D} \left( \int_{c \in \mathcal{C}} p(c, c), d \right) \cong \int_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}(p(c, c), d),
\]

\[
\mathcal{D} \left( d, \int_{c \in \mathcal{C}} p(c, c) \right) \cong \int_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}(d, p(c, c)).
\]
A definition of "optic"

**Definition (Milewski, Boisseau/Gibbons, Riley, generalized)**

Fix a monoidal category $\mathcal{M}$ with a strong monoidal functor $(\_): \mathcal{M} \to [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}]$. Let $s, t, a, b \in \mathcal{C}$; an optic from $(s, t)$ with focus on $(a, b)$ is an element of the following set.

$$\text{Optic} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} s \\ t \end{array} \right) \right) = \int_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{C}(s, ma) \times \mathcal{C}(mb, t).$$

**Intuition:** The optic splits into some focus $a$ and some context $m$. We cannot access that context, but we can use it to update.
Lenses are optics

Proposition (from Milewski, 2017)

Lenses are optics for the product.

Proof.

\[
\int_{c \in \text{Sets}} \text{Sets}(s, c \times a) \times \text{Sets}(c \times b, t) \cong \quad (\text{Product})
\]

\[
\int_{c \in \text{Sets}} \text{Sets}(s, c) \times \text{Sets}(s, a) \times \text{Sets}(c \times b, t) \cong \quad (\text{Yoneda})
\]

\[
\text{Sets}(s, a) \times \text{Sets}(s \times b, t)
\]
Lenses are optics

**Proposition (from Milewski, 2017)**

Lenses are optics for the product.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
S \\
S \times b
\end{pmatrix}
\xrightarrow{\text{view}}
\begin{pmatrix}
a \\
\end{pmatrix}
\xrightarrow{\text{update}}
\begin{pmatrix}
t \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\int_{c \in \text{Sets}} \text{Sets}(s, c \times a) \times \text{Sets}(c \times b, t) \cong \quad (\text{Product})
\]

\[
\int_{c \in \text{Sets}} \text{Sets}(s, c) \times \text{Sets}(s, a) \times \text{Sets}(c \times b, t) \cong \quad (\text{Yoneda})
\]

\[
\text{Sets}(s, a) \times \text{Sets}(s \times b, t)
\]

Proof.
Prisms are optics

**Proposition (Milewski, 2017)**

Dually, prisms are optics for the coproduct.

Proof.

\[
\int_{m \in \text{Sets}} \text{Sets}(s, m + a) \times \text{Sets}(m + b, t) \cong \text{ (Coproduct) }
\]

\[
\int_{m \in \text{Sets}} \text{Sets}(s, m + a) \times \text{Sets}(m, t) \times \text{Sets}(b, t) \cong \text{ (Yoneda) }
\]

\[
\text{Sets}(s, t + a) \times \text{Sets}(b, t)
\]
Prisms are optics

**Proposition (Milewski, 2017)**

Dually, prisms are optics for the coproduct.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Proof.} & \quad \int_{m \in \text{Sets}} \text{Sets}(s, m + a) \times \text{Sets}(m + b, t) \cong \text{(Coproduekt)} \\
& \quad \int_{m \in \text{Sets}} \text{Sets}(s, m + a) \times \text{Sets}(m, t) \times \text{Sets}(b, t) \cong \text{(Yoneda)} \\
& \quad \text{Sets}(s, t + a) \times \text{Sets}(b, t)
\end{align*}
\]
Traversals are optics

**Theorem**

*Traversals are optics for the action of polynomial functors* \( \sum_n c_n \times \square^n \).

That is,

\[
\int^c \mathbf{Sets}(s, \Sigma_n (c_n \times a^n)) \times \mathbf{Sets}(\Sigma_n (c_n \times b^n), t) \cong \mathbf{Sets}(s, \Sigma_n a^n \times (b^n \to t)).
\]
Traversals are optics: proof

Again by the Yoneda lemma, this time for functors \( c : \mathbb{N} \to \text{Sets} \).

\[
\int^c \text{Sets} \left( s, \sum_n c_n \times a^n \right) \times \text{Sets} \left( \sum_n c_n \times b^n, t \right) \cong \quad (\text{cocontinuity})
\]

\[
\int^c \text{Sets} \left( s, \sum_n c_n \times a^n \right) \times \prod_n \text{Sets} (c_n \times b^n, t) \cong \quad (\text{prod/exp adjunction})
\]

\[
\int^c \text{Sets} \left( s, \sum_n c_n \times a^n \right) \times \prod_n \text{Sets} (c_n, b^n \to t) \cong \quad (\text{natural transf. as an end})
\]

\[
\int^c \text{Sets} (s, \sum_n c_n \times a^n) \times [\mathbb{N}, \text{Sets}] \left( c\Box, b\Box \to t \right) \cong \quad (\text{Yoneda lemma})
\]

\[
\text{Sets} \left( s, \sum_n a^n \times (b^n \to t) \right)
\]

Programming libraries use traversable functors to describe traversals. Polynomials are related to these traversable functors by a result of Jaskelioff/O’Connor.
Traversals are optics: proof

Again by the Yoneda lemma, this time for functors $c: \mathbb{N} \to \text{Sets}$.

\[
\int^c \text{Sets} \left( s, \sum_n c_n \times a^n \right) \times \text{Sets} \left( \sum_n c_n \times b^n, t \right) \cong \text{ (cocontinuity) }
\]

\[
\int^c \text{Sets} \left( s, \sum_n c_n \times a^n \right) \times \prod_n \text{Sets} (c_n \times b^n, t) \cong \text{ (prod/exp adjunction) }
\]

\[
\int^c \text{Sets} \left( s, \sum_n c_n \times a^n \right) \times \prod_n \text{Sets} (c_n, b^n \to t) \cong \text{ (natural transf. as an end) }
\]

\[
\int^c \text{Sets} (s, \sum_n c_n \times a^n) \times [\mathbb{N}, \text{Sets}] (c \square, b \square \to t) \cong \text{ (Yoneda lemma) }
\]

Programming libraries use traversable functors to describe traversals. Polynomials are related to these traversable functors by a result of Jaskelioff/O’Connor.
All the usual optics are of this form. Some new ones arise naturally.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adapter</td>
<td>((s \rightarrow a) \times (b \rightarrow t))</td>
<td>Identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>((s \rightarrow a) \times (b \times s \rightarrow t))</td>
<td>Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prism</td>
<td>((s \rightarrow t + a) \times (b \rightarrow t))</td>
<td>Coproduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grate</td>
<td>(((s \rightarrow a) \rightarrow b) \rightarrow t)</td>
<td>Exponential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affine Traversal</td>
<td>(s \rightarrow t + a \times (b \rightarrow t))</td>
<td>Product and coproduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>(((s \rightarrow a) \rightarrow b) \rightarrow s \rightarrow t)</td>
<td>Product and exponential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traversal</td>
<td>(s \rightarrow \Sigma n.a^n \times (b^n \rightarrow t))</td>
<td>Polynomials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setter</td>
<td>((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (s \rightarrow t))</td>
<td>Any functor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part 3: the Profunctor representation theorem

For an action \( (_) : M \to [C, C] \).
**Definition (from Pastro/Street)**

A Tambara module is a profunctor $p$ together with a family of morphisms satisfying some coherence conditions.

$$p(a, b) \rightarrow p(ma, mb), \quad m \in M.$$ 

Pastro and Street showed they are coalgebras for a comonad.

$$\Theta(p)(a, b) = \int_{m \in M} p(ma, mb).$$

Or equivalently, algebras for its left adjoint monad $\Psi \dashv \Theta$.

$$\Psi q(x, y) = \int_{m \in M} \int_{a, b \in C} q(a, b) \times C(ma, x) \times C(y, mb)$$

We call $Tmb$ to the Eilenberg-Moore category for the monad, or equivalently, for the adjoint comonad.
Theorem (Boisseau/Gibbons)

Optics are functions parametric over Tambara modules.

\[ \text{Optic}((a, b), (s, t)) \cong \int_{p \in Tmb} \text{Sets}(Up(a, b), Up(s, t)) \]

In fact, \text{Optic} is the full subcategory on representable functors of the Kleisli category for \( \Psi \).
Because $\Psi \triangleright (a, b)(s, t) \cong \text{Nat}(\triangleright (s, t), \Psi \triangleright (a, b))$, the category of optics is the full subcategory on representable functors of the Kleisli category for $\Psi$. 

\[
\int_{p \in \mathbf{Tmb}} \mathbf{Sets}(p(a, b), p(s, t)) \cong \text{(Yoneda lemma)}
\]

\[
\int_{p \in \mathbf{Tmb}} \mathbf{Sets}(\text{Nat}(\triangleright (a, b), Up), Up(s, t)) \cong \text{(Free Tambara)}
\]

\[
\int_{p \in \mathbf{Tmb}} \mathbf{Sets}(\mathbf{Tmb}(\Psi \triangleright (a, b), p), Up(s, t)) \cong \text{(Yoneda lemma)}
\]

\[
\Psi \triangleright (a, b)(s, t) \cong \text{(Definition of } \Psi)\]

\[
\int_{m \in \mathbf{M}} \int_{x, y \in \mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}(s, mx) \times \mathbf{C}(my, t) \times \triangleright (a, b)(x, y) \cong \text{(Yoneda lemma)}
\]

\[
\int_{m \in \mathbf{M}} \mathbf{C}(s, ma) \times \mathbf{C}(mb, t)
\]
Part 3: the Profunctor representation theorem

For an action \((\_ \rightarrow) : M \to [C, C]\).

(This time in Prof!)
The bicategory $\mathbf{Prof}$ has

- 0-cells are (small) categories $A, B, C, D, \ldots$, as in $\mathbf{Cat}$;
- 1-cells $C \nrightarrow D$ are profunctors $p: C^{\text{op}} \times D \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$,
- 2-cells $p \Rightarrow q$ are natural transformations.

Two profunctors $p: C \nrightarrow D$ and $q: D \nrightarrow E$ are composed into $(q \diamond p): C \nrightarrow E$ with the following (co)end.

$$(q \diamond p)(c, e) = \int_{d \in D} p(c, d) \times q(d, e).$$

Yoneda lemma makes the hom profunctor $\mathbf{Y}: C^{\text{op}} \times C \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ the identity.
The bicategory $\text{Prof}$ has

- 0-cells are (small) categories $A, B, C, D, \ldots$, as in $\text{Cat}$;
- 1-cells $C \to D$ are profunctors $p : C^{op} \times D \to \text{Sets}$,
- 2-cells $p \Rightarrow q$ are natural transformations.

Two profunctors $p : C \to D$ and $q : D \to E$ are composed into $(q \diamond p) : C \to E$ with the following (co)end.

\[
(q \diamond p)(c, e) = \int_{d \in D} p(c, d) \times q(d, e).
\]

\[
(Q \circ P)(c, e) \iff \exists d \in D. \ P(c, d) \land Q(d, e).
\]

Yoneda lemma makes the hom profunctor $\y : C^{op} \times C \to \text{Sets}$ the identity.
A promonad \( \psi \in [\mathbf{A}^{op} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Sets}] \) is a monoid in the bicategory of profunctors.

**Lemma (Kleisli construction in Prof, e.g. in Pastro/Street)**

The Kleisli object for the promonad, \( \text{Kl}(\psi) \), is a category with the same objects, but hom-sets given by the promonad, \( \text{Kl}(\psi)(a, b) = \psi(a, b) \).

For some fixed kind of optic, we can create a category with the same objects as \( \mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C} \), but where morphisms are optics of that kind.

\[
\psi((s, t), (a, b)) = \int_{m \in \mathbf{M}} \mathbf{C}(s, ma) \times \mathbf{D}(mb, t)
\]

That is, \( \text{Optic} := \text{Kl}(\psi) \).
Theorem (Pastro/Street)

Functors $[\text{Optic, Set}]$ are equivalent to right modules on the terminal object for the promonad $\text{Mod}(\psi)$, which are algebras for an associated monad.

It follows from the universal property of the Kleisli object that

$$\text{Cat}(\text{Optic, Set}) \cong \text{Prof}(1, \text{Optic}) \cong \text{Mod}(\psi).$$

I am using Dan Marsden's macros for diagrams
Profunctor representation theorem

**Theorem (Riley 2018, Boisseau/Gibbons 2018, different proof technique)**

Optics given by $\psi$ correspond to parametric functions over profunctors that have (pro)module structure over $\psi$.

$$\text{Optic}((a, b), (s, t)) \cong \int_{p \in \text{Mod}(\psi)} p(a, b) \to p(s, t)$$

**Proof.**

$$\int_{p \in \text{Mod}(\psi)} p(a, b) \to p(s, t) \cong \quad (\text{lemma})$$

$$\int_{p \in [\text{Optic}, \text{Sets}]} p(a, b) \to p(s, t) \cong \quad (\text{by definition})$$

Nat($-(a, b), -(s, t)$) \cong \quad (\text{Yoneda embedding})

Nat(Nat(Optic((a, b), □), -), Nat(Optic((s, t), □), -)) \cong \quad (\text{Yoneda embedding})

Nat(Optic((a, b), □), Optic((s, t), □)) \cong \quad (\text{Yoneda embedding})

Optic((s, t), (a, b))
Summary

- Optic is the full subcategory on representable functors of a Kleisli category.
  - In Prof, it is a Kleisli object.
- Tambara modules are algebras for the monad.
  - In Prof, they are (pro)algebras for the promonad. It follows that $[\text{Optic, Sets}] \cong \text{Tmb}$. 
Part 4: Composition of optics
Given two optics for two actions $\alpha : M \to [C, C]$ and $\beta : N \to [C, C]$.

$$\int_{p \in Tmb(\alpha)} \text{Sets}(p(a, b), p(s, t)), \quad \int_{q \in Tmb(\beta)} \text{Sets}(q(x, y), q(a, b)).$$

We can compose them into a function polymorphic over profunctors that are algebras for both monads.

$$\int_{(p, p) \in Tmb(\alpha) \times \text{Prof} Tmb(\beta)} \text{Sets}(p(a, b), p(s, t))$$

In other words, we consider the following pullback.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Tmb(\alpha) \times_{\text{Prof}} Tmb(\beta) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Tmb(\alpha) \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow U \\
Tmb(\beta) & \xrightarrow{U} & \text{Prof}
\end{array}
$$
The coproduct comonad

**Lemma**

A pair of Tambara modules $Tmb(\alpha)$ and $Tmb(\beta)$ over the same profunctor $p$ is the same as a Tambara modules $Tmb(\alpha + \beta)$ for the coproduct action $\alpha + \beta: M + N \to [C, C]$.

For instance, Haskell would compose lenses and prisms into optics for an action of the following form.

$$a \mapsto c_1 + d_1 \times (c_2 + d_2 \times \ldots a)$$

This is usually *projected* into an action of the following form $a \mapsto c + d \times a$ (replete image of the action) that gives an optic called *affine traversal*. 
With some notion of subcategory of endofunctors (replete subcategories and pseudomonic functors), we can limit actions to submonoidal categories of $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}]$. 
Part 5: A case study
Let \((M, \otimes, i)\) be a monoidal category. \([M, \text{Sets}]\) is monoidal with Day convolution.

\[
(F \ast G)(m) = \int_{x,y \in M} M(x \otimes y, m) \times F(x) \times G(y)
\]

Monoids for Day convolution are lax monoidal functors. We can compute free lax monoidal functors as we compute free monoids.

\[
F^* = \text{id} + F + F \ast F + F \ast F \ast F + \ldots
\]

Lax monoidal functors for \(\text{Sets}\) are called applicative functors [McBride/Paterson].
The optic for applicative functors

\[
\int_{F \in \text{App}} \text{Sets}(s, Fa) \times \text{Sets}(Fb, t)
\]

\[\cong \] (Yoneda lemma)

\[
\int_{F \in \text{App}} \text{Nat}(s \times (a \to (-)), F') \times \text{Sets}(Fb, t)
\]

\[\cong \] (Free-forgetful adjunction for applicative functors)

\[
\int_{F \in \text{App}} \text{App} \left( \sum_n s^n \times (a^n \to (-)), F \right) \times \text{Sets}(Fb, t)
\]

\[\cong \] (Yoneda lemma)

\[
\text{Sets} \left( \sum_n s^n \times (a^n \to b), t \right)
\]

\[\cong \] (Continuity)

\[
\prod_n \text{Sets} \left( s^n \times (a^n \to b), t \right).
\]

This can be done in general for any functors that can be generated (co)freely.
Kaleidoscopes are optics for the evaluation of applicative functors, $\text{App} \to [\text{Sets}, \text{Sets}]$. They have a concrete description

\[
\text{Kaleidoscope} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} s \\ t \end{array} \right) \right) = \prod_n \text{Sets} (s^n \times (a^n \to b), t).
\]
Kaleidoscopes cannot be composed with lenses because \((c \times -)\) is not lax monoidal. It is lax monoidal when \(c\) is a monoid. We can ask the residual to be a monoid.

\[
\int_{c \in \text{Mon}} C(s, c \times a) \times C(c \times b, t) \quad \text{(Product)}
\]

\[
\int_{c \in \text{Mon}} C(s, c) \times C(s, a) \times C(c \times b, t) \quad \text{(Free monoid)}
\]

\[
\int_{c \in \text{Mon}} \text{Mon}(s^*, c) \times C(s, a) \times C(c \times b, t) \quad \text{(Yoneda lemma)}
\]

\[C(s, a) \times C(s^* \times b, t)\]
List lenses are optics for the product by a monoid, \((\times)\): \(\text{Mon} \times \text{Sets} \to \text{Sets}\). They have a concrete description

\[
\text{ListLens} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} s \\ t \end{array} \right) \right) = \text{Sets}(s, a) \times \text{Sets}(s^* \times b, t)
\]

List-lenses (unlike general lenses) compose with Kaleidoscopes!
Example

Take the iris dataset. Each entry is a Flower given by a species and four real numbers:

\[ \text{Flower} = \text{Species} \times \mathbb{R}^4. \]

\[ 5.1, 3.5, 1.4, 0.2, \text{Iris-setosa} \]
\[ 4.9, 3.0, 1.4, 0.2, \text{Iris-setosa} \]
\[ 4.7, 3.2, 1.3, 0.2, \text{Iris-setosa} \]
\[ 4.6, 3.1, 1.5, 0.2, \text{Iris-setosa} \]
\[ 5.0, 3.6, 1.4, 0.2, \text{Iris-setosa} \]

\[ \ldots \]

We define a list-lens that implements some learning algorithm.

\[ \text{Flower} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4_+ \]
\[ \text{Flower}^* \times \mathbb{R}^4_+ \rightarrow \text{Flower} \]

We define a Kaleidoscope that takes an aggregating function on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) and induces a componentwise aggregating function on the 4-tuples \( \mathbb{R}^4_+ \).

\[ \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left((\mathbb{R}_+)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+\right) \rightarrow \left((\mathbb{R}^4_+)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4_+\right) \]
List-lenses are, in particular, lenses; we can use them to view the measurements of the first element of our dataset.

```
(iris !! 1)^.measurements
```

---- Output ----

- **Sepal** length: 4.9
- **Sepal** width: 3.0
- **Petal** length: 1.4
- **Petal** width: 0.2
They are more abstract than a lens in the sense that they can be used to classify some measurements into a new species taking into account all the examples of the dataset.

```
iris ?. measurements (Measurements 4.8 3.1 1.5 0.1)

---- Output ----

Flower:
    Sepal length: 4.8
    Sepal width: 3.1
    Petal length: 1.5
    Petal width: 0.1
Species: Iris setosa -- <<<< Classifies the species.
```
List-lenses can be composed with kaleidoscopes. The composition takes an aggregation function and classifies the result

```haskell
iris >>= measurements.aggregateWith mean
```

```console
---- Output ----
Flower:
  Sepal length: 5.843
  Sepal width: 3.054
  Petal length: 3.758
  Petal width: 1.198
Species: Iris versicolor
```
Part 4: Summary and further work
Summary

• **Optics**: a zoo of accessors used by programmers [Kmett, *lens library, 2012*].
  • **Concrete representation**: each one is described by some functions.
  • **Existential representation**: unified definition of optics as a coend.
  • Going from concrete to existential cannot be done in general, we look for some way of eliminating the coend.
• **Profunctor optics**: for monoidal actions [Pastro/Street, 2008], [Milewski, 2017] and general actions [Boisseau/Gibbons, 2018].
  • **Profunctor representation**: can be composed easily.
  • Going from existential to profunctor and back is done in general.
• **Composition of optics**: what do we get when composing two optics.
  • Distributive laws is the obvious choice.
  • In Haskell, we consider coproducts of monads.
  • We get a lattice of optics.
Related and further work

- **Lawful optics.** Studied by [Riley, 2018].
  - Programmers use lawful optics, optics with certain properties.

- **Generalizations:** in which other settings do we get useful results?
  - Enrichments over a cartesian Benabou cosmos $\mathcal{V}$.
  - We have extended the theorems for *mixed optics*.

- **Implementation:** developing libraries of optics.
  - A concise library in Haskell. [https://github.com/mroman42/vitrea/](https://github.com/mroman42/vitrea/)
  - Derivations in Agda / Idris allow us to extract translation algorithms for optics.
  
  Everything we have been doing is constructive.

```haskell
lensDerivation \{s\} \{t\} \{a\} \{b\} =
begin
  (((\exists c \in \texttt{Set}, ((s \to c \times a) \times (c \times b \to t))))
  \equiv (\equiv\text{-coend} (\lambda c \to \texttt{trivial}))
  (((\exists c \in \texttt{Set}, (((s \to c) \times (s \to a)) \times (c \times b \to t))))
  \equiv (\equiv\text{-coend} (\lambda c \to \texttt{trivial}))
  (((\exists c \in \texttt{Set}, ((s \to c) \times (s \to a) \times (c \times b \to t))))
  \equiv \texttt{yoneda}

  ((s \to a) \times (s \times b \to t))
  \texttt{qed}
```
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